The Decade of North American Geology 1983 Geologic Time Scale

Compiled by Allison R. Palmer

Centennial Science Program Coordinator
Geological Society of America, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, Colorado 80301

Preparation of the 27 synthesis volumes of *The Geology of North America* for the Decade of North American Geology (DNAG) is now in progress. In order to encourage uniformity among DNAG authors in the citation of numerical ages for chronostratigraphic units of the geologic time scale, an ad hoc Time Scale Advisory Committee was established by the DNAG Steering Committee in 1982. This advisory committee, consisting of Z. E. Peterman (Chairman) and J. E. Harrison, U.S. Geological Survey; R. L. Armstrong, University of British Columbia; and W. A. Berggren, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, was asked to evaluate numerical dating schemes that were either recently published or in press and to provide recommendations for the best numbers to use in preparation of a DNAG time scale. The chart on the opposite side of this page was developed from the recommendations of the Time Scale Advisory Committee.

Geochronometric ages (Ma, Ga) assigned to chronostratigraphic boundaries are subject to several uncertainties in addition to those introduced by the numerical dating methods themselves; boundary stratotypes for many units are not yet chosen, so disagreement exists about exact biostratigraphic placement and correlation of a boundary; and many materials that can be numerically dated are not known in good context with biostratigraphic data, so extrapolation to a chronostratigraphic boundary is commonly required. Furthermore, with respect to the late Mesozoic and the Cenozoic, differing numerical age calibrations of the magnetic polarity-reversal scale based on differing choices of scattered isotopically dated tie points, differing interpretations of the positions of biostratigraphic boundaries with respect to the polarity-reversal scale, and uncertainties in the meaning of isotopic ages derived from glauconies lead to disagreement about ages assigned to some chronostratigraphic boundaries.

With these caveats, the numerical ages given in this chart represent interpretations acceptable to the DNAG Time Scale Advisory Committee. The uncertainty bars for Paleozoic and Mesozoic ages are from data in Harland and others (1982). Uncertainty bars for the Cenozoic are not available.

Sources for the numerical ages and for the chronostratigraphic nomenclature are given below.

CENOZOIC

Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., and Van Couvering, J. A., 1984, Neogene geochronology and chronostratigraphy; *in* Geochronology and the geologic record: Geological Society of London (in press).

Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., and Flynn, J. J., 1984, Paleogene geochronology and chronostratigraphy, in Geochronology and the geologic record: Geological Society of London (in press).

MESOZOIC

Base of Campanian to end of Cretaceous

Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., and Flynn, J. J., 1984, Appendix, in Geochronology and the geologic record: Geological Society of London (in press).

Base of Aptian to base of Santonian

Harland, W. B., Cox, A. V., Llewellyn, P. G., Picton, C.A.G., Smith, A. G., and Walters, R., 1982, A geological time scale: Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 128 p.

Base of Hettangian to base of Barremian (dating and chronostratigraphic correlation of the "M" series)

Kent, D. V., and Gradstein, F. M., 1984, A Jurassic to Recent chronology, in Tucholke, B. E., and Vogt, P. R., eds., The Western Atlantic region, Volume M of The geology of North America: Boulder, Colorado, Geological Society of America (in press).

Note: Rhaetian has been eliminated from the Late Triassic chronostratigraphic scale following Tozer, E. T., 1979, Latest Triassic ammonoid faunas and biochronology, western Canada: Geological Survey of Canada Paper 79-1B, p. 127-135.

Base of Ladinian to base of Norian

Armstrong, R. L., 1982, Late Triassic-Early Jurassic time scale calibration in British Columbia, Canada, in Odin, G. S., ed., Numerical dating in stratigraphy: New York, John Wiley & Sons, p. 509-513.

Base of Scythian to base of Anisian

Webb, J. A., 1982, Triassic radiometric dates from eastern Australia: in Odin, G. S., ed., Numerical dating in stratigraphy: New York, John Wiley & Sons, p. 515-521.

PALEOZOIC

All numerical ages except those for the upper and lower boundaries of the Paleozoic are derived from Harland and others (see above, 1982, p. 52-55). Late Carboniferous numbers are for continentally based ages (N = "Namurian"; W = Westphalian; S = Stephanian). The marine-based ages are from Harland and others (1982, Fig. 5.6). The earlier estimate for the base of the Cambrian at 570 Ma is retained.

PRECAMBRIAN

Harrison, J. E., and Peterman, Z. E., 1982, North American
 Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature, Report 9, Adoption of geochronometric units for divisions of Precambrian
 time: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin,
 v. 66, p. 801-802.



1983 GEOLOGIC TIME SCALE



DNAG 1903 GLOLOGIC										I IIVIE SCALE GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA											
	MESOZOIC						PALEOZOIC					PRECAMBRIAN									
(Ma) High Month	ERIOD		AGE	PICKS (Ma)	AGE POLAF (Ma)	ETIC RITY	PERIOD	EPOCH	AGE	PICKS (Ma)	UNCERT. (m.y.)	AGE (Ma)	PERIOD	ЕРОСН	AGE	PICKS (Ma)	UNCERT, (m.y.)	AGE (Ma)		ERA	BDY. AGES (Ma)
2 G2 2A G2A	NARY	PLEISTOCENE PLIOCENE	CALABRIAN L PIACENZIAN	0.01 1.6 3.4	70 - 31 31 32	1 (2) (2) (2) (3)			MAASTRICHTIAN	1 1		260 -	NA	LATE	TATARIAN KAZANIAN UFIMIAN KUNGURIAN	245 - 253 - 258	→ 20 → 20			LATE	570
5 3 C3			ZANCLEAN MESSINIAN	5.3 6.5	80 = 33	\vdash	10	LATE	CAMPANIAN	74.3			CARBONIFEROUS PERMIAN MISSISSIPPIAN PENNSYLVANIAN	EARLY	SAKMARIAN	263 268	→24 →22 →12	750-			900
4A C4A 10 - 5 C3	NEOGENE		TORTONIAN	11.2	90 -		OUS		SANTONIAN CONIACIAN TURONIAN CENOMANIAN	94.0 87.5 48.5 91 2. 97.5	4.5 2.5	280 -			GZELIAN KASIMOVIAN	- + 286	→12 →10	1000			
5A C5A		MIOCENE	SERRAVALLIAN	15.1	100		CEC	EARLY	ALBIAN			320 -		LATE	MOSCOVIAN ≥ 315		1250 -		MIDDLE		
5B CSB CSC CSC CSC CSC CSC CSE CSE CSE CSE CSE	NE	∑	LANGHIAN	16.6	110		Y								,	320			70		
20 - 6 CS		E	BURDIGALIAN	21.8	120	0	CRE	AN	APTIAN BARREMIAN	-119 -124	⊣9 ⊣9	340 -	ARB	EARLY	VISEAN	352	H 8	1500 -	rer		1600
25 7 C7 7A C7A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3A C3		ш.		23.7	130 MS	10	U	NEOCOMIAN	HAUTERIVIAN VALANGINIAN	_131	18	360 -		LATE	TOURNAISIAN FAMMENIAN FRASNIAN	360 367	⊣10 ⊣12	1750	AN	EARLY	
30 - 10 - 10 - 10		OLIGOCENE	CHATTIAN	30.0	140	14 16 18		R	BERRIASIAN		15 15	380 -	DEVONIAN	MIDDLE	GIVETIAN EIFELIAN EMSIAN	380 H	—18 —18 —128	2000-			
11 011	≤	OLIG	RUPELIAN		140 — M11 M11 M21 150 — M22 M22	22		LATE	TITHONIAN KIMMERIDGIAN	-152 -156	15 15 34 34 34 32 18 18	400		EARLY	SIEGENIAN GEDINNIAN PRIDOLIAN	- 394 - 401 - 408	101 - 18	2250			
10 04	5	<u> </u>	BRIADOWAN	36.6	160 M2	W29	URASSIC		OXFORDIAN CALLOVIAN	-163		420 -	SILURIAN	LATE EARLY	LUDLOVIAN WENLOCKIAN	414 421 428	⊣12 ⊣12 +8				
40 18 C18 40 17 C17 18 C18			BARTONIAN	40.0	170			MIDDLE	BATHONIAN	-169 -176		440	ORDOVICIAN	LATE	LLANDOVERIAN ASHGILLIAN	1 1		2500 -			2500
19 (19)	90	W W	1	43.6	180 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				BAJOCIAN	183 - 187 - 193 - 198				MIDDLE	CARADOCIAN LLANDEILAN		16 16	-			
21	ALEOG	EOCENE	LUTETIAN	IAN	190 T 700 OIAV		ال	EARLY	TOARCIAN PLIENSBACHIAN			480 -			LLANVIRNIAN ARENIGIAN	478	→ 16 → 20				3000
22 C22	٩	,		52.0	210-		SIC	-	SINEMURIAN HETTANGIAN	-204 -208		500 -		EARLY	TREMADOCIAN	505			CHE	MIDDLE	
55 23 czz 24 cz4		E	YPRESIAN	57.8	220 -			LATE	NORIAN					LATE	TREMPEALEAUAN FRANCONIAN DRESBACHIAN -5	- 523	:336		AR		3400
25 C25		ALEOCENE	1 4 1	60.6	230		AS		CARNIAN	- 225 - 230	⊣8 22,	540 -	CAMBRIAN	MIDDLE		- 540	28	3500 -		EARLY	
27 C27 65 — 28 C28		ALEO	 	63.6	240		TRI	MIDDLE	ANISIAN SCYTHIAN	- 235 - 240	→10 22	560 -	δ	EARLY				3750			3800?
- 29 Cze			<u> </u>	66.4 س	N - 1	1 L		PAUL	SCTIMAN	245	20			L	l	570	ı	Ι -	I		1